







Slovenian Qualifications Framework

Proposal by the Steering Committee Group on the Preparation of the National Qualifications
Framework

Ljubljana 2011







Drafted by:

members of the Expert Group for preparing descriptors in the Slovenian Qualifications

Framework (SQF):

Roberto Biloslavo, PhD

Klara Skubic Ermenc, PhD

Samo Pavlin, PhD.

Edited by:

Borut Mikulec

Institute of the Republic of Slovenia for Vocational Education and Training

Language editor:

Mirjam Sterle

Institute of the Republic of Slovenia for Vocational Education and Training, April 2011

The contents of the material and integrated graphic image were developed in the course of the operation entitled the Slovenian Qualifications Framework which was partly funded by the European Union, i.e. the European Social Fund. The operation is conducted within the framework of the Operational Programme Human Resources Development for the period 2007-2013, development priority 1 "Promoting Entrepreneurship and Adaptability" and priority axis 1.4. "Promoting the Development of New Employment Opportunities".

The implementation of the project is funded by the European Commission in the framework of the Lifelong Learning Programme 2007 - 2013. The views expressed in this publication (communication) are the sole responsibility of the authors and do not reflect the position of the European Commission. The publication was made possible with the co-funding of the Ministry of Education and Sport.

Table of contents

EXPLANATORY TEXT	4
KEY KONCEPTS	8
SQF LEVEL DESCRIPTORS	11
QUALIFICATIONS IN RELATION TO SQF LEVEL DESCRIPTORS	14
LITERATURE	18

EXPLANATORY TEXT

Introduction

Successful national qualifications frameworks are adopted by consensus and thereby assure the feeling of "ownership" by all stakeholders. This is corroborated by a growing body of evidence from other countries (Cedefop 2010). This proposal is the result of the work undertaken by the appointed Expert Group in cooperation with the Steering Committee Group on the Preparation of the National Qualifications Framework. It was corrected and amended following a wide public debate.

Purpose and structure of the SQF

When elaborating the proposal, we took into consideration the main purpose of the SQF: "Its objective is to integrate and harmonise Slovenian qualifications subsystems and enhance transparency, accessibility, progress and quality of qualifications by being mindful of the labour market and civil society" (Starting points for the Expert Group, 2010, p. 1).

The proposal attempts to consider national characteristics of the Slovenian education and qualification system, both in the number of levels set up as in the basic logic behind descriptors allowing for gradation. It is also in conformity with the EQF serving as the basic reference framework for the EU Member States. National Vocational Qualifications were also included in the framework as they might become increasingly important for complementing formal types of training and education in the future. With this in mind, the SQF "provides the reference level for resolving problems pertaining to inadequate cooperation between the VET system and certification system as well as for the assessment and recognition of non-formal and informal learning" (Cedefop, 2009, p. 79, 80).

Thus, the proposed qualifications framework in the first place pursues the objective of transparency of qualifications systems in the state by taking into consideration the national context, notably drawing inspiration from KLASIUS.

In the light of its position within the system and its application, the framework can also accomplish other tasks:

- a) better quality and performance of the education and training system;
- b) providing for international comparability of national qualifications and for assessment of international qualifications;

c) improving transfer possibilities between different education systems, primarily between education systems and labour market;

d) evaluating and recognizing learning outcomes in less formalized learning settings, especially if such a setting has a very positive influence on the level of acquired competences;

e) enhancing regulation on work flexibility in respect of the social and economic situation,

notably for retraining, adult learning and drop-outs;

f) impacting migration flows inside and outside Slovenia.

Two types of frameworks and frameworks function in the national education system

The development of national frameworks in the past period saw the emergence of two basic

framework types in Europe.

1st type: FRAMEWORK OF COMMUNICATION

2nd type: TRANSFORMATIONAL FRAMEWORK

Ad 1)

Frameworks of communication rest on national educational programmes and educational institutions.

Their aim is to reflect the education/qualifications system in a state, i.e. identify differences between

education levels/qualifications and their interrelations. They take a more passive role. This framework

makes it possible to address system deficits regarding harmonization, transparency and readability of

the educational/qualifications system. In the context of frameworks of communication, sectoral laws

regulate qualifications in different subsystems.

Ad 2)

The aim of the transformational framework is to change the qualifications system and thereby

establish new relations between education levels/qualifications. This calls for the resolution of all

ambiguities within the system and conflicts between all stakeholders, which is followed by the setting

up of instruments, performing more interventions and increasing control. Thus, it plays a regulatory

and active role.

These are two different framework types; however, actual national frameworks have the elements of

both. The difference is in the importance they place on each framework. Regardless of this fact, it is

completely impossible to talk about a completely passive role, and this is where the key difference

between a qualifications classification and qualifications framework lies: a framework is "a

qualification with a vision" (Bjornavlod, Coles 2010, p. 7, 30). In other words, we always intervene in

a system!

5

Frameworks of communication are by definition less ambitious as they rest on educational institutions and leave them to strike the balance between different qualifications. As they respond to changes within the system, they employ dynamic instruments. Although institutions make use of outcomesbased language, they are not perceived as the elements that could be prescribed by anyone else falling outside the scope of these institutions (Allais 2007). When formulating the proposal, we started with the premise that Slovenia (in comparative terms) has a relatively well regulated and developed education system. Consequently, it is reasonable to establish the SQF as the framework of communication founded on learning outcomes. Its reform potential is therefore lower.

The analysis of European frameworks (Cedefop 2010) shows that current solutions in Europe are on the continuum between frameworks of communication and transformational frameworks. The differences can be attributed to the level of regulation pertaining to the framework by considering its "loose" or "tight/restrictive" character.

These reflections were incorporated in the following proposals:

- 1. We propose 10 levels for the SQF. We believe that 10 levels better strike an appropriate balance between the education and qualification system. Two main criteria were observed when determining the number of levels:
 - a. every level has specific rights to employment and
 - b. every level enjoys specific rights to further education.

We opted for these two criteria since levels of education are by definition linked to rights to further education, whereas qualifications relate to rights to employment. We need to point to the fact that the criteria served as an aid in setting up levels and their borderline cases, however the framework does not a priori acquire a regulatory role. These criteria were connected as it helped us harmonize qualifications/education systems so as to enable easier identification and recognition of informal and non-formal learning. This element marks *a reform character of the framework*.

The SQF model reflects the current and previous situation in the area of education and labour market in the Republic of Slovenia.

2. Next to **learning outcomes** descriptors, the SQF should in our opinion also include **exit and entry parameters** – similar as it has already been envisaged for KLASIUS. Such a solution is in conformity with a communication role of the framework. It can be seen as a temporary solution since with the use and further development of the SQF there might be a need to strike a different balance between both poles. At the outset, it is sensible to bear in mind warnings by foreign

experts and develop the SQF by considering observed (desired and undesired) effects that might stem from the differentiation between learning outcomes and educational programmes.

Structure of descriptors

1. A descriptor for each level consists of three learning outcomes elements: **knowledge**, **skills** and **competences** (explanation of the terms can be found below).

Each education level and qualification placed within the framework will consist of all three elements, yet it is not necessary that all elements will be attributed equal weight in case of every qualification. To illustrate: learning outcomes in elementary school give greater weight to knowledge and skills, the same goes for grammar school education. On the other hand, vocational qualifications will most probably give greater weight to competences. This triple dimension attempts to "capture" the entire diversity – of what in terms of complexity are *comparable* – learning outcomes at individual levels acquired in different settings and for different purposes.

2. The framework is divided into two tables.

Table 1 SQF LEVEL DESCRIPTORS contains the number of levels and learning outcome descriptors.

Table 2 QUALIFICATIONS IN RELATION TO SQF LEVEL DESCRIPTORS includes a proposed education and qualification referencing.

3. In principle, every higher level also encompasses knowledge, skills and competences at lower level. This does not mean, however, that knowledge, skills and competences at lower levels do not contain or should also not contain higher level elements as a learning process aims to prepare learners for higher level, which cannot be achieved without the incorporation of higher level elements in the learning process. Thus, the learning process and education objectives (also standards of knowledge) contain knowledge and skills at higher complexity levels (higher levels of taxonomy by authors such as Bloom, Marzanov etc.) as well. The above mentioned basic criteria also determine at which qualification level an individual qualification is placed, the criteria are bound by the existing status of qualifications and education levels within the education system.

KEY KONCEPTS

NATIONAL QUALIFICATIONS FRAMEWORK is a tool for the development and classification of qualifications within a commonly agreed system. Qualifications are classified into levels in terms of learning outcomes and descriptors, interrelations between qualifications are displayed and possibilities for horizontal and vertical transferability between qualifications are revealed. Qualification frameworks contribute to increased quality, accessibility and recognition of qualifications at the labour market, both national and international.

LEVEL marks a structural element within qualification frameworks. It should be seen as a range of consecutive steps (development continuity) expressed as areas of generic results and serving as the basis for the classification of typical qualifications. Levels are an artificial and pragmatic entity developed in a long period of time.

KNOWLEDGE is the result of learning and acquisition of concepts, principles, theories and practices. It is obtained in different settings: in educational process, at work and in the context of private and social life.

TASKS refer to activities in different social situations gravitating towards work sphere as well as social life, which are exemplified by the context of lifelong learning.

SKILLS are in the context of the Slovenian Qualifications Framework described as cognitive (e.g. use of logical, intuitive and creative thinking) and/or practical (e.g. manual skills, creative skills, the use of materials, tools and instruments).

COMPETENCES pertain to the ability to use and integrate knowledge and skills in educational, work, personal and/or professional situations. We distinguish between generic and vocationally specific competences.

Against the backdrop of the SQF, competences vary in the light of their complexity, independence and responsibility for action.

LEARNING OUTCOME involves knowledge, skills and competences standardised at certain qualification level. It is a statement explaining what an applicant at a certain qualification level knows, can do and decide after finishing a learning period.

Learning outcomes can be formulated in connection with courses, programme units, modules and programmes. Learning outcomes in these connections lead to qualification or educational attainment.

QUALIFICATION is an official result of the assessment process and the recognition by a competent authority deciding that an individual achieved learning outcomes in line with defined standards. A qualification has currency in the labour market, formal education system and lifelong learning.

There are **three types of qualifications** in Slovenia:

EDUCATION

Publicly recognised education is obtained after successfully completing publicly approved educational programmes¹.

NATIONAL VOCATIONAL QUALIFICATION

A national vocational qualification is defined as work related vocational or professional capacity required to perform an occupation at certain level of complexity.²

SUPPLEMENTARY QUALIFICATION

A supplementary qualification complements an applicant's competence. It can be

- common for a certain professional field (e. g. banking) or
- transversal and/or transferable to a number of professional fields (e.g. management of dangerous substances, project management) providing for better employability and promoting lifelong learning.

It is demonstrated by a certificate.

¹ Pursuant to the following acts: the Organization and Financing of Education Act, the Elementary School Act, the Vocational Education Act, the Gimnazije Act, the Post-Secondary Vocational Education Act and the Higher Education Act.

² As laid down in the National Professional Qualifications Act.

Meaning of abbreviations:

KLASIUS - classification system of education and training

EQF – European Qualifications Framework

NHEQF – National Higher Education Qualifications Framework

SQF – Slovenian Qualifications Framework

SQF LEVEL DESCRIPTORS

	Knowledge	Skills	Competences
	is the result of learning and acquisition of concepts, principles, theories and practices. It is obtained in different settings: in educational process, at work and in the context of private and social life.	In the context of the Slovenian Qualifications Framework, skills are described as cognitive (e.g. use of logical, intuitive and creative thinking) and/or practical (e.g. manual skills, creative skills, the use of materials, tools and instruments).	pertain to the ability to use and integrate knowledge and skills in educational, work, personal and/or professional situations. Competences vary in the light of their complexity, independence and responsibility for action. We distinguish between generic and vocationally specific competences.
Level 1	Elementary general knowledge enabling continuing systematic learning.	Basic literacy and the ability to learn data and facts. Demonstrating practical skills enabling to perform simple, repetitive task or a short sequence of simple tasks.	Ability to operate in a specifically defined and highly structured setting
Level 2	Basic general and applied knowledge with the understanding of the main social and nature related concepts, processes and laws. It serves as the basis for further learning and social participation.	Basic literacy and demonstrating practical skills, including the use of basic tools, methods and materials. Performing simple, repetitive tasks, consisting of a small number of operations.	Ability to engage in a restrictedly independent activity based on oral or written instructions and to acquire new knowledge and skills in a foreseeable and structured setting. Accepting limited responsibility.
Level 3	Predominantly practical, life-and vocationally relevant knowledge with some theoretical basis, acquired primarily through the examination of examples, imitation and practice in the context of a specific discipline.	Basic literacy and demonstrating practical skills, including the use of basic tools, methods and materials. Using well known solutions when resolving predictable problems within a limited scope. Performing transparent and standardised tasks.	Ability to acquire new knowledge and skills in a structured setting and under appropriate guidance. Ability to engage in a restrictedly independent activity in a predictable and structured setting based on simple oral or written instructions. Accepting limited responsibility.
Level 4	Predominantly vocational knowledge supplemented by the knowledge of theoretical principles, notably from the relevant discipline. Examination of examples, integration and application of knowledge take precedence over scientific systematism principles.	Applying knowledge in resolving different tasks and problems, also in less typical situations. Demonstrating skills which in terms of the scope of action are wide-ranging and specialized, including the use of appropriate tools, methods and different technology procedures and materials. Performing relatively transparent, less standardised tasks.	Ability to operate in a well known and less familiar setting with greater responsibility and independence. Assuming responsibility for quality of products/services linked to work tasks or work processes. Assuming responsibility for one's own learning. Acquiring new knowledge and skills in a controlled setting. This level is characterised by certain entrepreneurial orientation, ability to organise and be included in working groups.

	Knowledge	Skills	Competences
Level	General and/or	Demonstrating skills which in terms of	Ability to operate in different and
5	professional knowledge	the scope of action are wide-ranging	specific settings. Assuming
	acquired by getting to	and can also be specialised, including	responsibility for characteristics and
	know different	the use of appropriate tools, methods,	quality of the work process and
	scientific and /or	different technology procedures,	results, whereby independence and
	professional fields and	materials and theories. Assessing and	certain level of self-initiative is
	theoretical principles. It	using information to take decisions	demonstrated. Assuming
	serves as the basis for	and resolve different problems or	responsibility and initiative for the
	further learning and	atypical situations. Formulating	acquisition of new knowledge and
	slightly in-depth	solutions in connection with well	skills. This level is characterised by
	understanding of the	defined abstract problems. Performing	entrepreneurial orientation, ability to
	discipline. Learning	different and often non-standardised	organise and be included in complex
	proceeds primarily by	tasks.	and heterogeneous working groups.
	way of analytical		
Level	thinking. Professional and	Performing complex operative and	Ability to operate in different and
6	theoretical knowledge	professional tasks linked to works in	specific settings with elements of
	in the specific field as	the pipeline and control of work	creativity. Independent activity
	well as practical	processes, particularly when it comes	characterised by taking on
	knowledge for	to works pertaining to organisation	responsibility for the work of
	resolving concrete	and management of the work process.	individuals, groups, material sources
	professional tasks.	Tasks are complex in terms of the	and information. Performing in
	Knowledge enables the	scope of action, normally specialized	numerous, complex and
	resolution of more	and involve abstract thinking and the	heterogeneous situations. In
	complex tasks in a	use of appropriate tools, methods,	addition, it is required to have the
	specific field of the	different technology procedures,	ability to make basic connections and
	discipline.	materials and theories.	place issues in a general social
			context. Identifying one's own
			learning needs and providing for
			knowledge transfer in a work setting.
Level	In-depth professional –	Performing complex operative and	Ability to operate in different settings
7	theoretical and	professional tasks which also involve	and functions as well as to articulate
	practical knowledge in	the use of methodological tools.	new knowledge. Assuming
	a specific field backed	Managing demanding and complex	responsibility for determining and
	by a broader	work processes through the	achieving one's own work results
	theoretical and	independent use of knowledge in new	and/or work results of a heterogeneous group in defined
	methodological basis.	work situations. Diagnosing and resolving problems in	work areas. Ability to participate in
		different and specific work settings	an argument-supported debate in
		linked to the education and training	specific work settings linked to the
		domain.	education and training domain.
		The basis for original findings and	Identifying one's own needs for
		critical reflection.	learning, assuming initiative for one's
			own learning, having ability to
			transfer knowledge in a group.
	I.		Transfer microscope ma proup.

	Knowledge	Skills	Competences
Level 8	In-depth theoretical, methodological and analytical knowledge with elements of research serving as the basis for very complex professional work.	Managing highly demanding and complex work processes and methodological tools in specialized areas. Working situations are normally atypical. Planning and guiding work processes by way of creative resolution of problems linked to the education and training area. Ability to formulate original findings/creations and engage in critical reflection.	Independently and autonomously performing tasks in normally atypical settings, in wider and multidisciplinary settings. Ability to assume responsibility for one's own professional development. Assuming responsibility for decisions pertaining to activities, processes and management of complex and heterogeneous groups. Ability to independently, professionally and ethically guide one's own learning and learning of others in different settings.
Level 9	In-depth theoretical, methodological and analytical work serving as the basis for original scientific/research/art work creating new knowledge/creations.	Planning, guiding and performing the most complex works, including the participation in scientific and research/ premium art projects, and resolving theoretical and practical problems in special work situations. Ability to formulate original findings and make creations as well as engage in critical reflection.	Autonomously performing tasks in atypical settings, system-wider or multidisciplinary settings connected to basic and/or applied scientific, research and art work. Ability to assume responsibility for one's own professional development and development of the discipline. Ability to independently, professionally and ethically guide one's own learning and learning of others in different settings.
Level 10	In-depth knowledge for independent and original scientific, research/art work or development of a discipline at the highest level, which is also linked to scientific, professional and artistic recognition in the local and international environment.	Planning, guiding and performing the most complex tasks, including scientific, research and art projects as well as resolving the most complex theoretical and practical problems. Ability to engage in critical reflection, in-depth abstract thinking and synthesise new and complex ideas.	Ability to prominently and autonomously/supremely create, interpret and search for answers to the most abstract and complex questions in the discipline, science and art. Ability to transfer knowledge between the discipline and science by engaging in a critical dialogue and ability to responsibly assess the impact stemming from the use of new knowledge in different settings.

QUALIFICATIONS IN RELATION TO SQF LEVEL DESCRIPTORS

	Education levels	National Vocational Qualifications
	A) Admission requirements (typical):	
	B) Duration (typical or typical total duration in theory): C) Typical education:	
	D) Transferability:	
Level 1	PROGRAMMES OF ELEMENTARY EDUCATION (LOWER LEVEL)	
	A): age -6 years in a 9-year elementary school programmes or 7 years in	
	a 8-year elementary school programmes	
	B): 6 years in a 9-year elementary school programme (1st – 6th grade) or	
	4 years in a 8-year elementary school programme (1st – 4th grade)	
	C) : uncompleted elementary education	
	D): 6 th or 4 th grade of a elementary school programme corresponds to	
	level 2 in the SQF; 7 th or 6 th grade for lower vocational education (level 3	
	in the SQF)	
Level 2	PROGRAMMES OF ELEMENTARY EDUCATION (HIGHER LEVEL)	NVQ, level 2
	A) : (do not exist as education proceeds continuously and within a	
	compulsory and uniform elementary school programme)	
	B): 3 years in a 9-year elementary school programme (7 th – 9 th grade) or	
	4 years in a 8-year elementary school programme (5 th – 8 th grade)	
	C): elementary education	
	D): lower vocational, secondary vocational, professional and general secondary education (levels 3 – 5 in the SQF)	
Level 3	PROGRAMMES OF LOWER VOCATIONAL EDUCATION	NVQ, level 3
Level 3	A): uncompleted or completed elementary school	14 4 Q, 16 461 3
	B): 2.5 years	
	C): lower vocational education	
	D) : secondary vocational education (SQF, level 4)	
Level 4	PROGRAMMES OF SECONDARY VOCATIONAL EDUCATION	NVQ, level 4
	A): completed elementary school or lower vocational education school	
	B): 3 to 4 years	
	C): secondary vocational education	
	D): secondary professional and vocational-technical education – master	
	craftsman, foreman and shop manager examination (SQF, level 5)	
Level 5	PROGRAMMES OF SECONDARY TECHNICAL, PROFESSIONAL AND	NVQ, level 5
	GENERAL EDUCATION	
	A): completed elementary school and secondary vocational school	
	B): 4 – 5 years	
	C): secondary technical and professional education as well as general	
	education D): matura (nation wide leaving examination)/vecational course, next	
	D): matura (nation-wide leaving examination)/vocational course, post- secondary vocational, higher vocational and university ³ education	
	(levels 6, 7 in the SQF)	
	(levels 0, 7 III tile 5Qt)	

_

 $^{^{\}rm 3}$ Conditionally in case of a vocational matura examination.

	Education levels	National Vocational Qualifications
	A) Admission requirements (typical):	
	B) Duration (typical or typical total duration in theory):	
	C) Typical education:	
	D) Transferability:	4
Level 6	PROGRAMMES OF POST-SECONDARY VOCATIONAL AND HIGHER	NVQ, level 6 ⁴
	VOCATIONAL EDUCATION	
	A): matura or vocational matura (formerly final examination); master	
	craftsman, foreman and shop manager examination with an additional	
	test at the level of vocational matura	
	B): 2-2.5 years	
	C): post-secondary vocational and higher vocational education	
	D): continuation at higher vocational colleges in line with transfer	
	criteria	
Level 7	SPECIALIZATION EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMMES FOLLOWING POST-	
	SECONDARY VOCATIONAL EDUCATION (FORMER):	
	A): completed post-secondary vocational education	
	B): 1 year	
	C): specialization following post-secondary vocational education	
	D): -	
	PROFESSIONAL MICHER FRUCATION (S	
	PROFESSIONAL HIGHER EDUCATION (former and first Bologna cycle):	
	A): matura, vocational matura, final examination	
	B): 3-4 years	
	C): professional higher education (first Bologna cycle)	
	D): transfer to second cycle Bologna programmes (SQF, level 8)	
	ACADEMIC HIGHER EDUCATION (first Bologna cycle):	
	A): matura, vocational matura with an additional examination, final	
	examination before 1 June 1995	
	B): 3-4 years	
	C): academic higher education (first Bologna cycle)	
	D): transfer to second cycle Bologna programmes (SQF, level 8)	

_

⁴ The current NVQ system includes qualifications up to level 6. The SQF encourages the examination of additional options so as to enable the elaboration of NVQs at higher levels.

	Education levels	National Vocational Qualifications
	A) Admission requirements (typical): B) Duration (typical or typical total duration in theory): C) Typical education: D) Transferability:	
Level 8	SPECIALIZATION AFTER PROFESSIONAL HIGHER EDUCATION (FORMER): A): higher education degree testifying to the completion of a professional higher education programme B): 1-2 years C): specialization after professional higher education D): transfer to third Bologna cycle programmes (SQF, level 10)	
	ACADEMIC HIGHER EDUCATION (FORMER): A): matura, vocational matura with an additional examination, final examination before 1 June 1995 B): 4-6 years C): academic higher education (former) D): transfer to third Bologna cycle programmes (SQF, level 10)	
	MASTERS EDUCATION (second Bologna cycle): A): in case of a uniform study programme matura, vocational matura with an additional examination, final examination before 1 June 1995; otherwise first Bologna cycle B): 1-2 years, a minimum of 5 years in case of uniform studies C): masters (second Bologna cycle) D): doctoral programme (third Bologna cycle, SQF, level 10)	
Level 9	MASTER OF SCIENCE – MSc (FORMER): A): degree testifying to the completion of university education and compliance with other criteria; in certain cases a degree testifying to the completion of professional higher education and compliance with other criteria B): 2-3 years C): master of science (MSc) D): transfer to third Bologna cycle programmes (SQF, level 10)	
Level 10	DOCTORAL STUDIES (third Bologna cycle): A): degree testifying to the completion of university education (former), degree testifying to the completion of MSc or second Bologna cycle programme and a specialization degree following academic higher education – professional education B): 3 years C): doctorate of science D): -	
	DOKTORATE OF SCIENCE (FORMER): A): degree testifying to the completion of university education (former), degree testifying to the completion of a MSc programme and a specialization degree following university education B): 4 years following a university degree, 2 years following a MSc degree C): doctorate of science D): -	

LITERATURE

Added Value of National Qualifications Framework in Implementing the EQF. (2010). Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union.

Allais, S., Raffe, D., Young, M. (2009): Researching NQFs: Some conceptual issues. Geneva: International Labour Organization.

Cedefop. (2009): The development of national qualifications frameworks in Europe. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union.

Cedefop. (2009): The shift to learning outcomes. Policies and practices in Europe. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union.

Cedefop. (2010): The development of national qualifications frameworks in Europe. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union.

Coles, M., Oates, T. (2004): European reference levels for education and training. CEDEFOP.

European Qualifications Framework for Lifelong Learning (EQF). (2009). Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities.

European Qualifications Framework. Working material for a national debate. (2005). Ljubljana: National Institute for Vocational Education and Training.

Starting points for the expert group preparing the structure, level and descriptors of the Slovenian Qualifications Framework. (2010).

Key Competences for Lifelong. European Reference Framework. (2007). Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union.

Mikulec, B. (2010): Report: analysis of education and labour legislation upon the examination of qualifications in Slovenia (*working material*). Ljubljana: National Institute for Vocational Education and Training.

Ministry of Education and Sport, Ministry of Labour, Family and Social Affairs, Ministry of Higher Education, Science and Technology. (2006). Report on results of a national debate on the European Qualifications Framework. http://ec.europa.eu/education/policies/educ/eqf/results/slovenia_sl.pdf (10 October 2010).

 $Methodological\ explanations\ of\ Classification\ System\ of\ Education\ and\ Training.\ Off.\ Gaz.\ of\ RS,\ No.\ 89/2006.$

Explaining the European Qualifications Framework for Lifelong Learning. (2008). Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities.

Raffe, D., Gallacher, J., Toman, N. (2007/2008): The Scottish credit and qualifications framework: lessons for the EQF. European Journal of Vocational Education. št. 42/42. Str. 60–69.

Decree on the Introduction and Use of Classification System of Education and Training. Off. Gaz. of RS, No. 46/2006

Vodovnik, Z. et al. (2010): Working material for a debate on elements and structure of the National Higher Education Qualifications Framework (NHEQF). Koper: Faculty of Management. Koper: University of Primorska.

Young, M. (2005): National qualifications frameworks: Their feasibility for effective implementation in developing countries. Geneva: International Labour Organization.

The Gimnazije Act. Off. Gaz. of RS, No. 1/2007.

The National Professional Qualifications Act. Off. Gaz. of RS, No. 1/2007 and Off. Gaz. of RS, No. 85/2009.

The Organization and Financing of Education Act. Off. Gaz. of RS, No. 16/2007, Off. Gaz. of RS, No. 36/2008,

Off. Gaz. of RS, No. 58/2009, Off. Gaz. of RS, No. 64/2009 and Off. Gaz. of RS, No. 65/2009.

The Elementary School Act. Off. Gaz. of RS, No. 81/2006 and Off. Gaz. of RS, No. 102/2007.

The Vocational Education Act. Off. Gaz. of RS, No. 79/2006.

The Higher Education Act. Off. Gaz. of RS, No. 119/2006, Off. Gaz. of RS, No. 64/2008 and Off. Gaz. of RS, No. 86/2009.

The Post-Secondary Vocational Education Act. Off. Gaz. of RS, No. 86/2004.